

SHORT REVIEW

Genomics, environmental genomics and the issue of microbial species

DM Ward¹, FM Cohan², D Bhaya³, JF Heidelberg^{4,5}, M Kühl⁶ and A Grossman³

¹Department of Land Resources and Environmental Science, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA; ²Department of Biology, Wesleyan University, Middletown, CT, USA; ³Department of Plant Biology, The Carnegie Institution, Stanford, CA, USA; ⁴Department of Biological Sciences, Philip K Wrigley Marine Science Center, University of Southern California, Avalon, CA, USA; ⁵The Institute for Genomic Research, Rockville, MD, USA and ⁶Marine Biological Laboratory, Institute of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Helsingør, Denmark

A microbial species concept is crucial for interpreting the variation detected by genomics and environmental genomics among cultivated microorganisms and within natural microbial populations. Comparative genomic analyses of prokaryotic species as they are presently described and named have led to the provocative idea that prokaryotes may not form species as we think about them for plants and animals. There are good reasons to doubt whether presently recognized prokaryotic species are truly species. To achieve a better understanding of microbial species, we believe it is necessary to (i) re-evaluate traditional approaches in light of evolutionary and ecological theory, (ii) consider that different microbial species may have evolved in different ways and (iii) integrate genomic, metagenomic and genome-wide expression approaches with ecological and evolutionary theory. Here, we outline how we are using genomic methods to (i) identify ecologically distinct populations (ecotypes) predicted

by theory to be species-like fundamental units of microbial communities, and (ii) test their species-like character through *in situ* distribution and gene expression studies. By comparing metagenomic sequences obtained from well-studied hot spring cyanobacterial mats with genomic sequences of two cultivated cyanobacterial ecotypes, closely related to predominant native populations, we can conduct *in situ* population genetics studies that identify putative ecotypes and functional genes that determine the ecotypes' ecological distinctness. If individuals within microbial communities are found to be grouped into ecologically distinct, species-like populations, knowing about such populations should guide us to a better understanding of how genomic variation is linked to community function.

Heredity advance online publication, 6 June 2007;
doi:10.1038/sj.hdy.6801011

Keywords: prokaryotic species; species concepts; environmental genomics; genomics; ecotype; microbial mat

Introduction

Understanding the nature of microbial species is an emerging issue in biology. While opinions differ widely on what constitutes a microbial species, they all have to take into account a universal pattern of diversity – that all organisms, including prokaryotes, fall into clusters of similarity in phenotype, sequence identity, genome content and ecology. One end of the discussion argues that there is no need to impose a theory on species demarcation. Species, as they have been traditionally demarcated based on phenotypic properties are viewed as acceptable, so long as they contain strains that are closely related phylogenetically. In this view, it is of no concern that the prokaryotic species presently recognized by systematics are known to be heterogeneous in their ecology (Rosselló-Mora and Amann, 2001; Rosselló-Mora, 2003). Others, however, view prokaryotic species as groups subject to specific dynamic forces and are

trying to model species based on evolutionary forces suggested from empirical patterns of genetic variation. One such model is motivated primarily by the extensive evidence of horizontal gene transfer (HGT) and homologous recombination observed among close relatives, which some see as being in conflict with neo-Darwinian concepts (Rodríguez-Valera, 2004). This view suggests that species are groups of ecologically heterogeneous bacteria whose diversity is constrained by HGT and homologous recombination (Gogarten *et al.*, 2002; Lawrence, 2002). Another model, is motivated by the *in situ* patterning of genetic variation, population genetic studies and evolutionary–ecology theory. This model suggests that the clusters among close relatives are ecologically distinct and irreversibly separate, and, as such are the fundamental units of diversity, much like species of animals and plants. We have hypothesized that there are such ecologically distinct, species-like groups of bacteria and we believe it is essential to identify and define these populations if we are to develop a predictive understanding of microbial community composition, structure and function (Ward and Cohan, 2005; Cohan, 2006; Ward, 2006; Ward *et al.*, 2006). Here, our goal is to provide rationale for and introduce a theory-based approach we are taking to evaluate whether genomic variation in a natural microbial

Correspondence: Dr DM Ward, Department of Land Resources and Environmental Science, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT 59715, USA.

E-mail: umbdw@montana.edu

Received 6 September 2006; revised 13 February 2007; accepted 23 March 2007

community is organized into discrete phylogenetic and ecological clusters and whether such clusters exhibit properties expected of species.

Why should microbiologists care about species?

Plant and animal species are viewed as coherent clusters of individuals held together by cohesive forces, such as the ability to exchange genes (Templeton, 1989). There is a strong sense of the importance of species to communities: 'the species...is the basic unit of ecology...no ecosystem can be fully understood until it has been dissected into its component species and until the mutual interactions of these species are understood' (Mayr, 1982). Determining the complement of species and their abundances is the starting point for macro-ecological studies of community biodiversity, composition, structure, function and assembly (Begon *et al.*, 1990; Hubbell, 2001). For instance, species rank abundance diagrams are used to define community structure or to assay changes in communities that result from dispersal, extinction, succession or anthropogenic change. Furthermore, to the extent that each species occupies a unique niche, these fundamental units serve unique roles and thus, link community composition and structure to community function. It has been suggested (Begon *et al.*, 1990) that the goals of ecology are description, explanation, prediction and control. Description and explanation of species, viewed as populations of individuals that occupy unique niches and undergo unique dynamics (and interactions), is thus essential for predicting (i) how both transient perturbations and chronic environmental changes can modulate the structure and function of microbial communities and the interactions among community members, and (ii) how to control microbial communities for human-centric purposes.

Thoughts about microbial species

The ways that microbiologists view the species concept for prokaryotes have been reviewed recently (see papers in Claridge *et al.*, 1997; Gevers *et al.*, 2005; Cohan, 2006; Spratt *et al.*, 2006; Ward, 2006; and see below). In this section, we provide an overview of ideas concerning microbial species upon which our approach is based.

Are named prokaryotic species true species?

Historically, prokaryotic species were named and recognized based on phenotypic (for example, morphological and physiological traits) clustering (Goodfellow *et al.*, 1997; Rosselló-Mora and Amann, 2001). Microbiologists' confidence that these 'named' bacterial species have biological significance has colored the development of microbial systematics and ecology. As molecular methods became available and were used for species demarcation, they were merely calibrated to yield previously established phenotypic clusters: a 60–70% cutoff for DNA–DNA hybridization, a 97–98% cutoff for similarity in 16S rRNA sequence, and most recently, a ~94% average nucleotide identity (ANI) of genomes (Venter *et al.*, 2004; Konstantinidis and Tiedje, 2005) were chosen as appropriate thresholds for grouping indivi-

duals into species since they are generally in accord with clusters originally established by phenotypic methods (Cohan, 2002a). Such 'gold standard' criteria (Goodfellow *et al.*, 1997) would lump all primates into just one species (Staley, 1997)! Population geneticists have used a high-resolution method, multilocus sequence typing (MLST), to evaluate how strains of named prokaryotic species are clustered into discrete subpopulations (in MLST, multiple protein-encoding housekeeping genes are used as neutral evolutionary markers that have randomly accumulated differences owing to mutation and/or homologous recombination as evolutionarily distinct populations have diverged (Maiden *et al.*, 1998; Hanage *et al.*, 2006)). Such analyses have shown that named bacterial species are complex, multipopulation taxa and that the sub-populations within named species can resemble ecologically adapted species populations (Cohan, 2002a; Sikorski and Nevo, 2005; Smith *et al.*, 2006).

The acceptance of named species by microbiologists has serious implications. It has resulted in considering strains with highly diverse gene content as members of single species, such that genome-content diversity within a given species has been characterized as a core set of common genes surrounded by a highly diverse cloud of auxiliary genes that shift dynamically as a consequence of HGT (Lan and Reeves, 2000; Boucher *et al.*, 2001; Gogarten *et al.*, 2002). Furthermore, if named prokaryotic species are really sets of true species, the gold standard molecular cutoffs have been calibrated in a way that, like the primate example above, would effectively lump together diverse populations that each exhibit distinct species-like traits. This, in turn might lead to a serious underestimation of functionally important biodiversity within microbial communities (Dykhuizen, 1998; Curtis *et al.*, 2002; Ward, 2002), and to misconceptions about community composition, structure, function and dynamics.

Ecological patterning and species the concept

Studies of the distribution of molecular diversity along well-defined ecological gradients have led many microbial ecologists to develop a sense that discrete microbial populations are distributed in nature in an orderly way (that is, different molecular variants are found in different spatial locations; see Giovannoni and Stingl, 2005; Ward, 2006 and below). One example is our work on hot spring cyanobacterial mats (Figure 1), which we are using as a model system to develop an understanding of the fundamentals of microbial community ecology. (It is important to realize that this is just one among many kinds of microbial communities and its special properties are likely to affect the way species inhabiting it form and persist. For instance, very high population sizes likely reduce the importance of genetic drift, very close spatial proximity among community members may enhance HGT and strong physical/geographic isolation likely limits the importance of dispersal. Different systems will obviously have different attributes that cause differences in species and speciation.) Although a single morphotype of a unicellular cyanobacterium (*Synechococcus*) is observed microscopically to be a major component of the mat community, many closely related cyanobacterial 16S rRNA geno-




Figure 1 Mushroom Spring cyanobacterial mat showing microsensor measurements in progress. Inset: (top) vertical section of a 68°C mat sample (bar ~1 mm) and autofluorescence of *Synechococcus* populations from the surface and the ‘deep chlorophyll maximum’ at depth (bar ~10 μm); (middle) oxygen concentration and oxygenic photosynthesis as a function of depth; (bottom) light quality, quantified as spectral scalar irradiance, at indicated depth (mm) (from Ward *et al.*, 2006).

types, some >97% identical, are present in different regions of the mat (A/B-lineage genotypes in Figure 2a); all are distantly related to a readily cultivated *Synechococcus* (OS C1 isolate in Figure 2a). Clearly, a species concept based on morphological differences is meaningless for these organisms and cultivation biases have severely limited our ability to characterize the naturally abundant community members. If we were to apply a 2 or 3% 16S rRNA divergence cutoff to the classification of cyanobacteria in the mat, we would conclude that the variants that cluster into the *Synechococcus* A and B subclades represent strains within two species. However, each of the *Synechococcus* A/B 16S rRNA genotypes exhibits a unique distribution along the thermal gradient in the mat and isolates with these genotypes have been shown to be uniquely adapted to specific temperatures (Figure 2a) (Allewalt *et al.*, 2006). Such patterning provoked us to consider concepts based on species being ecologically distinct populations (we will use the terms ecologically distinct populations, ecological species and ecotypes interchangeably, except where noted; Ward, 1998). Furthermore, using fast-evolving, high-resolution genetic markers, we (Palys *et al.*, 2000; Ferris *et al.*, 2003) and others (Zinser *et al.*, 2006) have demonstrated that ecologically distinct populations may even have identical 16S rRNA sequences. For example, Ferris *et al.* (2003) had to use the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) that separates

the 16S and 23S rRNA genes to genetically distinguish phenotypically distinct *Synechococcus* populations that inhabit different vertical zones in the mat (Ferris *et al.*, 2003) (Figures 1 and 2b). Therefore, the ability to discern ecologically distinct populations within a community based on molecular methods depends on the degree of genetic resolution.

Investigations based on analysis of multiple genetic loci have demonstrated homologous recombination among closely related isolates from some microbial communities. For instance, Papke *et al.* (2004) and Whitaker *et al.* (2005) obtained evidence for high rates of homologous recombination in saltern *Halorubrum* and acid hot spring *Sulfolobus islandicus* isolates, respectively. Since high levels of homologous recombination have the potential to erode the distinction between suspected species populations (Hanage *et al.*, 2005, 2006), it is important that we keep an open mind as to how different forces that generate and/or act upon variation are involved in speciation. Also, differing patterns of population genetics highlight the fact that we cannot expect all microbes to evolve in the same way.

Theoretical models of prokaryotic species and speciation Sexual isolation is of paramount importance in isolating gene pools of sexual species (that is, Biological Species




Figure 2 Molecular diversity of cyanobacteria in hot spring cyanobacterial mats. (a) Cyanobacterial 16S rRNA phylogeny showing genotypes recovered by molecular analysis (A/B clade, C9, type J, P and I variants) and/or cultivation (denoted by 'isolate') from the Octopus Spring (OS) mat (thick lines) relative to sequences that define this kingdom-level lineage in domain bacteria (thin lines) and how different species concepts influence judgment about their significance. Bar indicates substitutions per nucleotide. Different colors are used for each specific A- and B-like 16S rRNA genotype to indicate differential distribution from cooler (blue, ~50°C) to warmer (red, ~72°C) temperatures. (b) *Synechococcus A'* phylogeny based on 16S–23S internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequence variation, with putative ecotypes predicted by ecotype simulation analysis. Note that clones from putative ecotypes 1 and 2 are predominantly associated with the Mushroom Spring 68°C lower (▼) and upper (▲) photosynthetic layers corresponding to Figure 1. Dashed green line shows how part B connects to part A. (B from Ward *et al.*, 2006).

Concept) but, with the exception of highly recombining organisms, it is unlikely to be the cohesive force holding together asexual (or rarely sexual) species (Cohan, 1994). As an alternative approach, microbiologists have often considered the species problem to be one of 'where to draw the line' that demarcates species (in terms of sequence divergence) as they evolve apart from one another (Ward, 2006). However, this is a simplistic approach since younger species will have diverged less than older, well-established species (Ward *et al.*, 2006), different species are likely to speciate in different ways (Cohan, 2006) and relatively small changes in a genome (for example, the introduction of a single gene with a specific biological function, the elimination of gene function or even point mutations) can result in significant changes in functionality and fitness that result in a change of ecological niche (Rosenzweig *et al.*, 1994; Rainey and Travisano, 1998). Therefore, we believe that it is more a matter of 'how to draw the line'. Cohan (2006) emphasizes the importance of theoretical underpinnings, based on principles of evolution and ecology (see also

Whitaker and Banfield, 2005), for understanding prokaryotic species, and has introduced a diversity of models (Table 1) that take into account the various ways in which diversity may arise within microbial populations (for example, via mutation, recombination and plasmid transfer) and how the environment may influence variation (for example, genetic drift, purifying selection, positive selection, geographic isolation and perhaps recombination. (Interestingly, based on evidence of high homologous recombination in populations they have studied, Whitaker and Banfield (2005) and Whitaker *et al.* (2005) suggest that recombination may sometimes also be a force acting upon prokaryotic variation by preventing divergence of new lineages, akin to sexual recombination in plants and animals.) (Figure 3). Different models may apply to speciation of different prokaryotes.

In our initial analysis of diversity in cyanobacterial populations of hot spring mats we are using the Stable Ecotype Model, since its predictions match the ecological patterning of molecular diversity that we have observed along environmental gradients (Figure 2a). In this model,

Table 1 Theoretical models of prokaryotic species and speciation that are not based on the assumption that named prokaryotic species are true species (Gevers *et al.*, 2005; Godreuil *et al.*, 2005; Ward and Cohan, 2005; Cohan, 2006)

Model	Description	Predicted relationship between ecotypes and clusters ^a	Cause of homogeneity within clusters	Example microbial group
Stable ecotype	Periodic selection limits the diversity within an ecologically distinct population (ecotype) occupying a specific niche. Niche invasion mutations effect the evolutionary origin of an ecologically distinct variant that founds a new population. The ecotypes then accumulate their own mutations, and each population is purged of its diversity through its own private periodic selections. The result is two distinct phylogenetic clusters representing the ecologically distinct populations	1 ecotype: 1 cluster	Periodic selection	<i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> (Smith <i>et al.</i> , 2006); <i>Bacillus simplex</i> (Sikorski and Nevo, 2005)
Geotype+Boeing	Same as the stable ecotype model, except that divergence occurs also due to the accumulation of neutral mutations in physically isolated populations. If these populations later come to inhabit the same community, there will be >1 cluster per ecotype	1 ecotype: >1 cluster	Dispersal within geographic regions, but not between regions	<i>Yersinia pestis</i> (Achtman <i>et al.</i> , 2004)
Genetic drift	Random fixation of allelic variants when population sizes are small, yielding clusters of close relatives within an ecotype. This is most likely for obligate pathogens and commensals in which host infections are founded by very few cells	1 ecotype: >1 cluster	Genetic drift	<i>Buchnera</i> (Herbeck <i>et al.</i> , 2003)
Cohesive recombination	Multiple closely related ecotypes genetically recombine at a high rate resulting in a reduction in the distinctness of ecotypes	Nondistinct clusters	Recombination between ecotypes	<i>Helicobacter pylori</i> (Falush <i>et al.</i> , 2001)
Species-less	Frequent invention and extinction of ecologically distinct populations and little periodic selection. This is most likely in a rapidly changing environment	Many ecotypes: 1 cluster	Young age of closely related ecotypes	
Recurrent niche invasion	Recurrent gain or loss of adaptations (for example, facile gain or loss of a plasmid) interferes with divergence of ecotype-specific lineages	Many ecotypes: 1 cluster	Plasmid transfer	<i>Rhizobium</i> (Mutch and Young, 2004) <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> (Rasko <i>et al.</i> , 2007)
Nano-niche Model	Subgroups within one ecotype each become adapted in nuanced ways to the subtleties of multiple microhabitats within a single system, but one adaptive mutant may still outcompete to extinct all the ecological diversity within the ecotype. The various ecologically distinct subgroups are not irreversibly separate, and do not have time to diverge into separate sequence clusters	Many ecologically distinct populations: 1 cluster	Young age of subgroups; periodic selection within the whole ecotype	<i>Vibrio splendidus</i> (Thompson <i>et al.</i> , 2005)

^aEcotypes are defined as populations with distinct ecology; clusters are defined as monophyletic clades unifying variants predicted to be putative ecotypes.

the cohesive force holding members of a species population together is provided by periodic selection (Figure 3). Diversity within a population arises through mutation and recombination, but recombination between populations is considered too rare to prevent adaptive

divergence between ecologically distinct populations (Cohan, 1994). From time to time, the within-population diversity is purged by periodic selection events. In such a case, one new mutant or recombinant is fitter than the rest in the context of changing environmental conditions




Figure 3 Schematic of the Stable Ecotype Model, showing how forces that cause and act upon variation lead to the evolution of ecologically defined species populations. Fans of diversity are eliminated by periodic selection (PS) events, in which one most-fit variant (solid blue line) outcompetes to extinct other variants (dashed blue lines). The evolution of ecological novelty (niche invasion mutation) allows an individual to escape PS in the parental lineage (blue) and begin a new lineage (green) that evolves independently with its own private periodic selection events. Contemporary populations exist as sets of variants within discrete ecotype populations. In contemporary phylogenies, distinct ecotypes should be defined by distinct monophyletic clusters and each ecotype cluster should possess distinct allelic variants due to the accumulation of neutral mutations.

that define the niche, and owing to the rarity of recombination, nearly the entire, intact genome of the original adaptive mutant sweeps through the population (with one known exception (*Helicobacter pylori*; Falush *et al.*, 2001), recombination rates are no greater than 10 times the mutation rate, which is insufficient to prevent periodic selection within an ecotype or disintegration of ecological divergence between ecotypes (Cohan, 2002b)). The surviving, most-fit variant carries forward the general ecological character of the population occupying this niche and founds a new round of diversification that develops until another periodic selection event again eliminates within-population diversity. Thus, a species population evolves through a series of periodic selection events that recurrently purge the ephemeral diversity within the population. Permanent divergence occurs with the evolution of ecological novelty, either by accumulation of adaptive mutations or by sudden acquisition of genes via HGT, which founds a new species population. The founding variant and its descendants can escape the selection event that purges diversity in the parental population, and this new population can then undergo its own private periodic selections. In the Stable Ecotype Model, periodic selection is assumed to occur many times during the lifetime of an ecotype. Thus, the outcome of repeated private periodic selections in each of the two ecotype lineages is the evolution of discrete phylogenetic clusters that represent ecologically unique species. We refer to these clusters as ecotypes, but they could also be considered species using an ecological species concept (Ward, 2006) (ecotypes may be defined generally as ecologically distinct populations, or more specifically as ecologically distinct populations that are subject to their own private periodic selection events. It is this latter definition that allows us to identify ecotypes as clusters based on DNA sequence identity (see below)). In sum, the Stable Ecotype Model predicts a fundamental evolutionary discontinuity – closely related individuals cluster into species-like ecotypes that are acted upon uniquely by natural selection.

It is important, however, to take into account other models of microbial speciation. For example, an ecotype of small effective population size, dominated by genetic drift (Genetic Drift Model), may contain multiple sequence clusters. Ruling out genetic drift as a major force acting upon diversity seems reasonable in the hot spring mats since *Synechococcus* population sizes are enormous (10^{10} cells/ml mat). We have also considered how the expected 1:1 relationship between phylogenetic clusters and ecologically distinct populations predicted by the Stable Ecotype Model might be altered as a consequence of geographic isolation (Geographic + Boeving model predicts >1 cluster per ecotype) or very rapid HGT (for example, the Species-less Model predicts >1 ecotype per cluster) (Ward and Cohan, 2005) (Table 1). We reiterate that the evidence in hand suggests that different microbes evolve in different ways. The Stable Ecotype Model is just a starting point and our analysis of genomic variation in *Synechococcus* populations will tell us the extent to which this and/or other models of species and speciation apply to the natural microbial populations that we are studying.

Genomics and the species issue

As implied in our previous discussion, comparative genomic analysis of strains of named prokaryotic species cannot lead to an accurate view of variation within a species if the strains compared are not really from a single true species population. As depicted in Figure 4, comparing different strains within a named species may be more like comparing strains belonging to different species within a genus. If, alternatively, we can determine which of the individual strains group into true species populations, we should be able to use genomic analysis to investigate variation among strains believed to be functionally interchangeable (that is, from the same ecotype) or among strains believed to be ecologically distinct (that is, from different ecotypes). Such analyses should help us to understand whether genomic differences (at the nucleotide or gene level) are ecologically




Figure 4 Hypothetical phylogeny of 16 true species all contained within a single named prokaryotic species. The lineage has been divided into green and blue sublineages, which are further subdivided into 16 contemporary true species populations, whose subtle differences in shading suggest subtle distinctions in niche adaptation. Arrows of varying length and color suggest that genomic differences may increase with phylogenetic distance; the bidirectional arrow highlights a single species population, where genomic differences among strains may be much smaller.

and evolutionarily neutral or instead define adaptive traits that distinguish different ecotypes. Recently, an interesting attempt was made to use comparative genomics to determine the ANI separating populations with ecological distinctions (Konstantinidis and Tiedje, 2005). It was suggested that such a molecular standard 'could be as stringent as including only strains that show >99% ANI or are less identical...but share an overlapping ecological niche'. However, a single cutoff is not likely to be realistic since different prokaryotic species would be expected to evolve in different ways, and any species population evolves from a nascent stage (hardly any neutral differences) to more mature stages (increasingly greater neutral differences as new populations diverge from their parental populations).

Environmental genomics and the species issue

Environmental genomic analysis represents a major advance in our ability to discover the enormous diversity within microbial communities and, in some cases, to associate this diversity with ecological significance. Recent reviews and opinion papers, many of which we cite below, have been written about these methods, their promise for providing a deeper understanding of

microbial communities and their pitfalls. The issue of microbial species is frequently raised in metagenomic papers because, in all studies, micro-heterogeneity, defined as sequence diversity among very closely related variants, has been observed (DeLong, 2005). Different authors express different opinions on the issue of microbial species, but most consider it important. As DeLong (2004) has said, 'a critical parameter element, 'microbial species', is still looking for a concrete definition' and as Newman and Banfield (2002) said 'as we begin to sample the genomes of natural populations, we must confront the question of species- and subspecies-level genome diversity'.

The 'gold standards' for sequence divergence (discussed above) have been used in some metagenomic studies to describe the diversity and genetic character of species in microbial communities. For instance, Venter *et al.* (2004) used 97 and 99% rRNA sequence similarity cutoffs to estimate species diversity in their Sargasso Sea plankton metagenomic study, clearly acknowledging that 'though sequence divergence does not universally correlate with the biological notion of 'species'...sequence similarity within the rRNA genes is the accepted standard in studies of uncultured microbes'. A cutoff of >94% ANI for assembled and unassembled sequences, was used to define 'genomic' species since it corresponded to ~97% rRNA sequence identity; this criterion was used to conservatively demarcate species for species richness calculations. Similarly, Schleper *et al.* (1998) employed 'standard criteria (for example, rRNA and genomic DNA similarity)' to interpret clonal variants of an archaeon retrieved from a marine sponge that exhibit 99.2–99.3% rRNA and ~87% overall DNA sequence identity as 'strains of a single species'. In their study of '*Haloquadratum walsbyi*' inhabiting a salt crystallizer pond, Legault *et al.* (2006) also applied a 94% ANI to identify what they 'considered as *bona fide* '*H. walsbyi*' (belonging to lineages closely related to the sequenced strain). Although the title of their paper implies that this is a study of a single species, the use of quotation marks and reference to closely related lineages appears to reflect uncertainty about whether this named archaeal species is truly a single species.

Others have not limited their interpretation of metagenome data to the application of the standard molecular cutoffs. For instance, in their study of acid mine drainage biofilms, Tyson *et al.* (2004) assembled metagenome clones based on overlapping of nearly identical sequences and binned them based on G+C content and depth of coverage into a 'composite genome' called *Ferroplasma* (type II). (Individual clone sequences were assembled into contigs based on near-identical sequence overlaps, then scaffolds were built using individual clones whose ends overlap with different individual contigs; the entire 'composite genome' is based on binning scaffolds that show similar G+C content and depth of coverage.) They considered this composite genome to represent a new species distinct from that represented by an existing *Ferroplasma* isolate (type I) that was only 1% divergent at the 16S rRNA locus. Nucleotide polymorphisms occurring within the composite genome at a frequency of 2.2%, were considered to have come from differences among strains of the *Ferroplasma* II species. The *Ferroplasma* II strains they demarcated showed evidence of homologous recombina-

nation, which was not as evident between *Ferroplasma* I and II. Based on the expectation that homologous recombination is less frequent among more divergent populations (for example, species more divergent than strains), the authors suggested that 'recombination and assembly may provide useful genome-based criteria to separate species from strains in cases where one or both organisms are uncultivated'. (The authors make it clear that it is really the rate of recombination, not merely recombination.) In the same study, a composite genome of a *Leptospirillum* population (group II) that exhibited only 0.08% nucleotide polymorphism was considered to be representative of a single strain. Rather than being bound by the 'gold standard' molecular cutoffs, Tyson *et al.* (2004) apparently used the composite genomes to demarcate species (that is, species were demarcated by assemblies of individual sequences that were binned on the basis of their %G + C content falling within a range of ~10–15% and depth of coverage) and variants within these composite genomes were considered strains of this species. Although this approach, like the use of molecular cutoffs, allows for theory-independent prediction of species based on metagenomic data, without further experimental work it remains unknown whether the populations predicted as species in this way correspond to populations with the expected properties of species (see above and below). Nevertheless, these authors were clearly thinking about the influence of evolutionary and ecological processes as they interpreted the patterns revealed by their data (Banfield *et al.*, 2005; Whitaker and Banfield, 2005). For instance, they noted evidence consistent with a recent reduction of diversity in the *Leptospirillum* group II population, possibly due to a selective sweep (that is, periodic selection event) or a founder effect. Whitaker and Banfield (2005) also pointed out that different population genetics patterns for *Leptospirillum* and *Ferroplasma* are consistent with the notion that different microbial lineages speciate in different ways and with differing frequencies (a founder effect is a type of population bottleneck, wherein population diversity becomes very low because of recent colonization of a new environment by a small number of individuals. Population bottlenecks might also have other causes (for example, near extinction events)).

The major issue that concerns us is whether, and if so how and why, closely related individuals cluster into species-like ecotype populations. Since high-resolution genomic and metagenomic approaches are needed to address this concern we have considered how such methods might best be used to resolve the issue of determining what microbial species are. Shotgun sequencing of DNA isolated from environmental samples represents an attempt to obtain sequence information for a large proportion of genes in a community, providing what has been described as a 'parts list' for a microbial community (DeLong, 2005). Recent gene-centric studies did not attempt to assemble the parts, but rather tried to identify functional 'environmental gene tags', yielding a coarse snapshot of community function that can be useful for determining general structural/metabolic/regulatory features of microbial communities (Tringe *et al.*, 2005; DeLong *et al.*, 2006). For understanding the genomic variation among individuals, however, the parts need to be assembled. Assembly of metagenomic sequences into contigs,

scaffolds and their binning to form 'composite genomes' is complicated by many factors, including species diversity and evenness (DeLong, 2005; Schloss and Handelsman, 2005). (Evenness is the degree to which different species within a community occur in equal abundance.) All metagenomic assemblies to date include microheterogeneity and are really comprised of regions from a large number of individual genomes that are present in the environmental sample (that is, assembly and subsequent binning leads to composite or 'virtual' genomes). Hence, we cannot use metagenomic assemblies to study genomes of individuals, and, without further investigation we cannot assess whether the variation within assemblies corresponds to that found within one or more than one true species. Approaches using fosmid and bacterial artificial chromosomes (BACs) do, however, sample large segments of genomes of individuals, albeit small in comparison to the entire genome, and can link clusters of genes with diverse sequences to specific variants in the population. There are a number of elegant examples that demonstrate how this approach has led to the discovery of metabolic processes in groups of bacteria that were not known to conduct such metabolisms (for example, Béjà *et al.*, 2000, 2001, 2002a; Bryant *et al.*, 2007). Cloning of large genomic regions has also been used to assay sequence microheterogeneity among individuals in marine crenarchaeal populations (Schleper *et al.*, 1998; Béjà *et al.*, 2002a, b) and '*H. quadraticum*' in a salt crystallizer (Legault *et al.*, 2006).

Our integrated theory-based approach

As a result of our experimental results and theoretical considerations, we envision ecotypes to be fundamental species-like units that occupy unique niches within microbial communities. As such, ecotypes should regulate community function through unique patterns of population distribution, and by the dynamics of gene expression and metabolic activity within the context of their environment. Based on predictions of the Stable Ecotype Model of speciation, we pose two general questions:

1. Is genomic variation within a natural microbial community organized into discrete phylogenetic and ecological clusters, as expected of ecotypes?
2. Do these clusters exhibit properties expected of ecotypes (discrete ecological distributions, discrete functions based on gene content and/or sequence adaptations, discrete patterns of gene expression)?

To address these questions, we are using genomic and population genetic methods to investigate the well-characterized hot spring microbial mats of Octopus Spring (Ward *et al.*, 1998) and Mushroom Spring (Ward *et al.*, 2006) (Figure 1). The mat communities are ideal for such analyses: (i) they have an uneven community structure skewed toward large, predominant type-A/B *Synechococcus* populations, (ii) genetically and ecologically relevant isolates, both axenic and nonaxenic, of the type-A/B populations are available (Allewalt *et al.*, 2006; Kilian *et al.*, 2007), (iii) the mats have well-defined temperature, light and chemical gradients that can be measured at the microscale level using microsensors that can also quantify photosynthesis and other microbial activities *in situ* (Ward *et al.*, 2006) (Figure 1), (iv) these gradients can be experimentally subsampled (Ramsing

et al., 2000; Ferris *et al.*, 2003), (v) the mats have very high biomass, are readily accessed, and are protected within Yellowstone National Park, (vi) there is background information on the predominance and distribution of the specific 16S rRNA and ITS genotypes in the mat and (vii) previous studies have explored cyanobacterial physiology over the diel cycle (for example, van der Meer *et al.*, 2005, 2007). This strong foundation allows for the development of rational hypotheses related to studies of acclimation and adaptation within and between putative ecotype populations.

Genomic and metagenomic databases

Because unraveling the prokaryotic species issue requires high-resolution approaches, we have used genomic and metagenomic analyses to probe the diversity for all genes of the dominant phototrophic members of the community. We have obtained the complete genome sequences for two *Synechococcus* isolates (Bhaya *et al.*, 2007, www.tigr.org), one A and one B' genotype. These isolates were obtained from Octopus Spring and differ in their adaptation to temperature in correspondence with the distribution of these ecotypes along the thermal gradient (Allewalt *et al.*, 2006) (Figure 2a). We have also constructed metagenomic libraries from the ~1 mm thick top green layer of the mats containing all of the *Synechococcus* cells, as well as other microorganisms present in these samples (Bhaya *et al.*, 2007). Separate libraries were constructed from samples collected from two sites defined by average temperatures of 60 and 65°C at Octopus and Mushroom Springs, where *Synechococcus* 16S rRNA genotypes A and B' or just genotype A occur, respectively. By using the sequenced genomes as anchors onto which metagenome sequences are positioned, we have initiated an analysis of *Synechococcus* A-like and B'-like variants inhabiting the mats (Bhaya *et al.*, 2007). This represents a powerful approach for identifying variants in the population. For instance, we can detect potential recombination events between closely related populations as well as discover genes that are present in one sub-population but not in another. Furthermore, through *in situ* gene expression analysis (see below) we can determine whether or not these genes are transcribed (Steunou *et al.*, 2006). The anchor genomes also allow us to identify sequences from *Synechococcus* A-like and B'-like variants, thus facilitating theory-based analysis of how these populations may be subdivided into putative ecotypes (Ward *et al.*, unpublished). It may eventually be possible to link functional variants with ecotypes identified through population genetics studies.

Theory-based prediction of putative ecotypes

A central and distinguishing theme of the work that we have initiated involves identification of putative ecotype populations using genomic/metagenomic information coupled to theory-based approaches. We have developed and implemented an 'ecotype simulation' analysis method that is based on simulating the evolutionary history of a phylogenetically defined group (clade) within a community as described by variation at individual genetic loci (Cohan, 2006; Cohan and Perry, 2007). Rather than applying an arbitrary cutoff, the phylogeny of the organisms under study is used to estimate parameters responsible for the evolution of this

particular clade (periodic selection rate, ecotype formation rate, number of ecotypes). By identifying the smallest clusters consistent with single ecotypes, we can predict how individual variants are grouped into ecotypes. We have validated the ecotype simulation by analyzing data on the distribution of ITS variants present in the 68°C region of the Mushroom Spring mat (Figure 2b) and have found that the model predicts ecotypes that correspond with sequence clusters that were previously shown to be associated with surface and subsurface layers of the mat (Ward *et al.*, 2006). Cohan (2006) and Cohan and Perry (2007) provide other examples of correspondence between ecologically distinct sequence clusters and putative ecotypes predicted by ecotype simulation analysis. We have also begun to use more rapidly evolving protein-encoding genes for the ecotype simulation analysis, which offer greater molecular resolution.

We are also developing cultivation-independent MLST methods in which we have constructed large-insert metagenomic libraries from mat DNA using BAC clones. This permits us to sample variation over multiple loci contained within >100 kb segments of the genomes of individuals within native *Synechococcus* populations. Our strategy is to select multiple loci that have maximally diverged among A/B-type *Synechococcus* populations to increase molecular resolution. Analysis of variation at these multiple loci will also buffer against the potential challenge of frequent homologous recombination, whereby a single-locus phylogeny may fail to resolve species populations (Hanage *et al.*, 2005, 2006).

We suggest that ecotypes be hypothesized on the basis of falling into distinct sequence clusters that are predicted on theoretical grounds to have had a history of coexistence, and that these putative ecotypes be confirmed by demonstrating their ecological distinctness (Cohan, 2006). Staley (2006) has suggested a phylogenetic species concept for prokaryotes that defines species, however they may have formed (for example, through adaptation, geographic isolation), as the smallest 'irreducible' phylogenetic clusters. Meeting this criterion requires that we examine putative ecotypes using genetic markers with increasing resolving power to identify the point at which increasing molecular resolution no longer divides a putative ecotype cluster into more than a single ecotype.

Testing whether putative ecotypes exhibit species-like properties

Since, as ecotype populations diverge, all but the most conserved genes should accumulate neutral genetic differences, it should be possible to define ecotype-specific allele sets for most *Synechococcus* genes, including highly expressed functional genes (Figure 3). (The use of functional genes as neutral markers may not seem intuitively obvious, since it is tempting to think of functional genes as being the genes under selection. However, we are focusing on neutral differences, not adaptive differences. By examining molecular details (for example, K_c/K_a ratio), it is possible to identify and thus avoid genes are under strong positive selection.) These sets of allelic variants can be used to evaluate whether putative ecotypes exhibit the ecological distinctness expected of species-like populations. This is essentially

the same kind of approach we used to determine whether 16S rRNA and ITS variants have unique ecological distributions relative to the horizontal flow (for example, temperature, nutrients) and vertical gradients (for example, light and chemical parameters that covary with light, and nutrients) in the mats. As an example of our approach, recall that the phenotypically distinct *Synechococcus* populations that inhabit the uppermost and deeper portions of the 68°C Mushroom Spring mat photic zone (Figure 1) show differences in ITS sequence (Figure 2b). Although similar phenotypically distinct *Synechococcus* populations are found at different depths in the 65°C mat photic zone (Ward *et al.*, 2006), these populations do not exhibit variation in ITS sequence. We might hypothesize that all of these *Synechococcus* cells belong to a single ecotype, with cells in different microenvironments differently acclimated (for example, to different light regimes). Alternatively, we might hypothesize that there are two (or more) even more closely related, yet distinctly adapted ecotypes. If there is a single ecotype, we would expect ecotype simulation and/or MLST analysis of yet more high-resolution loci to predict just one putative ecotype cluster and allelic variants that define this cluster should be found at both depths. If there are multiple ecotypes, we would expect >1 predicted putative ecotype cluster and the allelic variants that are unique to each cluster should be uniquely distributed to distinct depth intervals. It is conceivable that multiple ecotypes could have the same spatial distribution yet exhibit different temporal activity patterns, or that it might be impossible to sample on the spatial scale needed to resolve adjacent very small *in situ* spatial niches. To circumvent these challenges, and to assess the possibility of acclimation, we will examine spatiotemporal patterning of expression of ecotype-specific alleles. This, of course, can only be performed if it is possible to measure *in situ* gene expression.

In situ gene expression

We have made considerable progress with a variety of approaches to assess *in situ* gene expression. We have targeted the analyses of specific transcripts using reverse transcriptase PCR amplification and quantitative PCR. These transcripts encode proteins that perform important physiological processes in *Synechococcus*, including photosynthesis, respiration, fermentation and nitrogen fixation (genes encoding Nif proteins were discovered in the initial *Synechococcus* genomic analyses; Steunou *et al.*, 2006). Strong shifts in the abundances of these transcripts were observed during a natural light to dark transition. Transcripts encoding proteins involved in photosynthesis and respiration are highest during the day while those encoding proteins associated with fermentation and nitrogen fixation accumulate to high levels in the evening (Figure 5). By combining gene expression studies with microsensor analysis of environmental parameters (Figure 5), we can begin to identify controls on gene expression of metabolic processes in the mat. While the *nif* genes are likely controlled primarily by oxic conditions, the photosynthesis genes are light responsive (even under anoxic conditions), and the respiratory genes may be under circadian control. Additionally, analyses of axenic *Synechococcus* OS-B' cultures under defined conditions are demonstrating specific light




Figure 5 Comparison of *in situ* transcription of *Nif* genes, nitrogen fixation and environmental parameters during an afternoon to night-time light transition in the Octopus Spring microbial mat on June 23, 2005. (Top) Incident photon irradiance (yellow) and nitrogenase activity (red). Vertical bars represent error, whereas horizontal bars indicate incubation period. (Middle) Depth distribution of oxygen concentration (blue) in the mat at 934, 29.3 and 0.0 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ illumination, respectively. (Bottom) qPCR examination of *nifHDK* and *psaB* transcript levels. Error bars indicate mean \pm s.d. (from Steunou *et al.*, 2006). qPCR, quantitative PCR.

effects on growth, pigmentation and gene expression (Kilian *et al.*, 2007) that will inform experiments to be performed *in situ*. Finally, we have developed a microarray that contains oligonucleotide primers (70 mers) representing genes on the *Synechococcus* A and B' genomes; we will thus be able to simultaneously evaluate expression (for example, both A and B' genotypes co-occur in the 60°C mat) of most genes in these organisms, and potentially other variants present in the mat samples. This will constitute a first step toward ecotype-specific gene expression and will guide us to other highly expressed, high-resolution genes that may be useful for studies of yet more closely related *Synechococcus* A/B ecotypes defined by population genetics studies.

The value of an evolutionary and ecological view of microbial species

The biological implications of viewing microbial species using evolutionary and ecological principles coupled with genomic information are enormous. To the extent

that species are unique ecologically adapted populations, they may constitute the basic building blocks from which guilds and communities are assembled and they may be the populations whose dynamics vary in response to environmental changes (guilds are functional units of microbial communities comprised of many species that all do the same function in somewhat different ways (for example, many plant species conduct photosynthesis within a forest community)). Ecological species could represent populations with unique gene assemblages, and thus play pivotal roles in regulating community function in space and time, according to how they are spatially distributed, how their gene expression varies temporally and how environmental changes alter their abundances. They may also be the populations that co-evolve in response to biotic niche determinants. Since microbial communities play major roles in all ecosystems, gaining knowledge of their composition, structure and function has widespread predictive importance (Staley *et al.*, 1997). An evolutionary and ecologically grounded view of species could also guide microbiologists toward a more orderly view of genome evolution—gene order and content among closely related microorganisms may not be as chaotic as it seems for named species, but rather may be ordered by the evolution of distinct ecotypes. Genomes from different ecotypes may differ in the sets of genes they have obtained through HGT: some of these genes would likely confer ecological uniqueness and may define an ecotype, while others may represent neutral changes that are not ecologically meaningful; genomes from the same ecotype should differ only in the latter set of genes (Cohan, 2006). In essence, like macrobiologists (for example, <http://www.egad.ksu.edu/> and <http://mimulusevolution.org/index.php>), microbiologists must determine the patterning of suspected species populations before they can make sense of the underlying differences that matter in terms of ecological function. A major challenge will be to develop ways to identify and resolve differences in ecological function, whether they be due to adaptations to specific physical/chemical/biological niche determinants incorporated into gene/protein sequences (Miller, 2003; Bielawski *et al.*, 2004) or to gain or loss of functionally critical genes (Ochman and Moran, 2001). Novel properties (for example, HGT, rapid doubling time) may influence the frequency and manner in which variation is generated in prokaryotes relative to plants and animals, but the way in which selection acts upon this variation may not differ – that is, there may be unifying principles of evolution, ecology, physiology and molecular biology across scales of size and the complexity of organisms.

Acknowledgements

Our research is funded by the National Science Foundation Frontiers in Integrative Biology Program (FIBR) award EF-0328698. MK also acknowledges additional support from the Danish Natural Science Research Council. We thank the many FIBR students, postdocs, research associates, technicians and colleagues who have worked hard to implement the study we have outlined here, as well as participants in our annual FIBR workshops (<http://landresources.montana.edu/FIBR/>), with whom we have had stimulating discussions about the

ideas we express: Mary Bateson, Melanie Melendrez, Natsuko Hamamura, Chris Klatt, Eric Becraft, John Peters and Eric Brecht (MSU), Alex Koepfel, Danny Krizanc, and Liz Perry (Wesleyan), Anne Soisig Steunou, Oliver Kilian, Melissa Adams and Natalia Khuri (Carnege), Sheila Jensen and Anni Glud (MBL, Copenhagen) and William Nelson (TIGR). We also thank the US National Park Service and personnel from Yellowstone National Park for their permission to conduct this work and their helpful assistance.

References

- Achtman M, Morelli G, Zhu P, Wirth T, Diehl I, Kusecek B *et al.* (2004). Microevolution and history of the plague bacillus, *Yersinia pestis*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **101**: 17837–17842.
- Allewalt JP, Bateson MM, Revsbech NP, Slack K, Ward DM (2006). Effect of temperature and light on growth and photosynthesis of *Synechococcus* isolates typical of those predominating in the Octopus Spring microbial mat community. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**: 544–550.
- Banfield JF, Tyson GW, Allen EE, Whitaker RJ (2005). The search for a molecular-level understanding of the processes that underpin the Earth's biogeochemical cycles. *Revs Mineral Geochem* **29**: 1–7.
- Begon M, Harper JL, Townsend CR (1990). *Ecology: Individuals, Populations, Communities*, 2nd edn. Blackwell Science: Oxford.
- Béjà O, Aravind L, Koonin EV, Suzuki MT, Hadd A, Nguyen LP *et al.* (2000). Bacterial rhodopsin: evidence for a new type of phototrophy in the sea. *Science* **289**: 1902–1906.
- Béjà O, Spudich EN, Spudich JL, Leclerc M, DeLong EF (2001). Proterorhodopsin phototrophy in the ocean. *Nature* **411**: 786–789.
- Béjà O, Suzuki MT, Heidelberg JF, Nelson WC, Preston CM, Hamada T *et al.* (2002a). Unsuspected diversity among marine aerobic anoxygenic phototrophs. *Nature* **415**: 630–633.
- Béjà O, Koonin EV, Aravind L, Taylor LT, Seitz H, Stein JL *et al.* (2002b). Comparative genomic analysis of archaeal genotypic variants in a single population and in two different oceanic provinces. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **68**: 335–345.
- Bhaya D, Grossman AR, Steunou A-S, Khuri N, Cohan FM, Hamamura N *et al.* (2007). Population level functional diversity in a microbial community revealed by comparative genomic and metagenomic analyses. *ISME J* (in press).
- Bielawski JP, Dunn KA, Sabeji G, Béjà O (2004). Darwinian adaptation of proteorhodopsin to different light intensities in the marine environment. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **101**: 14824–14829.
- Boucher Y, Nesbo CL, Doolittle WF (2001). Microbial genomes: dealing with diversity. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **4**: 285–289.
- Bryant DA, Garcia Costas AM, Maresca JA, Gomez A, Chew M, Klatt CG *et al.* (2007). *Candidatus Chloroacidobacterium thermophilum*: an aerobic phototrophic acidobacterium. *Science* (in press).
- Claridge MF, Dawah HW, Wilson MR (eds) (1997). *Species: Units of Biodiversity*. Chapman and Hall: London.
- Cohan FM (1994). The effects of rare but promiscuous genetic exchange on evolutionary divergence in prokaryotes. *Am. Naturalist* **143**: 965–986.
- Cohan FM (2002a). What are bacterial species? *Ann Rev Microbiol* **56**: 457–487.
- Cohan FM (2002b). Population structure and clonality of bacteria. In: M Pagel (ed). *Encyclopedia of Evolution*, vol. 1. Oxford University Press: New York. pp 161–163.
- Cohan FM (2006). Toward a conceptual and operation union of bacterial systematics, ecology, and evolution. *Phil Trans R Soc London B* **361**: 1985–1996.
- Cohan FM, Perry E (2007). A systematics for discovering the fundamental units of bacterial diversity. *Curr Biol* **17**: R373–R386.

- Curtis TP, Sloan WT, Scannell JW (2002). Estimating prokaryotic diversity and its limits. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **99**: 10494–10499.
- DeLong EF (2004). Microbial population genomics and ecology: the road ahead. *Environ Microbiol* **6**: 875–878.
- DeLong EF (2005). Microbial community genomics in the ocean. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **3**: 459–469.
- DeLong EF, Preston CM, Mincer T, Rich V, Hallam SJ, Frigaard N-U *et al.* (2006). Community genomics among stratified microbial assemblages in the ocean's interior. *Science* **311**: 496–503.
- Dykhuizen DE (1998). Santa Rosalia revisited: why are there so many species of bacteria? *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **73**: 25–33.
- Falush D, Kraft C, Taylor NS, Correa P, Fox JG, Achtman M *et al.* (2001). Recombination and mutation during long-term gastric colonization by *Helicobacter pylori*: estimates of clock rates, recombination size, and minimal age. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **98**: 15056–15061.
- Ferris MJ, Kühl M, Wieland A, Ward DM (2003). Different light-adapted ecotypes in a 68°C *Synechococcus* mat community revealed by analysis of 16S-23S intervening transcribed spacer variation. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **69**: 2893–2898.
- Gevers D, Cohan FM, Lawrence JG, Spratt BG, Coenye T, Feil EJ *et al.* (2005). Reevaluating prokaryotic species. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **3**: 1–7.
- Giovannoni SJ, Stingl U (2005). Molecular diversity and ecology of microbial plankton. *Nature* **437**: 343–348.
- Godreuil S, Cohan FM, Shah H, Tibayrenc M (2005). Which species concept for pathogenic bacteria? An E-Debate. *Infect Genet Evol* **5**: 375–387.
- Gogarten JP, Doolittle WF, Lawrence JG (2002). Prokaryotic evolution in light of gene transfer. *Mol Biol Evol* **19**: 2226–2238.
- Goodfellow M, Manfio GP, Chun J (1997). Towards a practical species concept for cultivable bacteria. In: Claridge MF, Dawah HW, Wilson MR (eds). *Species: Units of Biodiversity*. Chapman and Hall: London. pp 25–59.
- Hanage WP, Fraser C, Spratt BG (2005). Fuzzy species among recombinogenic bacteria. *BMC Biol* **3**: 6 (doi:10.1186/1741-7007-3-6).
- Hanage WP, Fraser C, Spratt G (2006). Sequences, sequence clusters and bacterial species. *Phil Trans R Soc London B* **361**: 1917–1927.
- Herbeck JT, Funk DJ, Degnan PH, Wernegreen JJ (2003). A conservative test of genetic drift in the endosymbiotic bacterium *Buchnera*: slightly deleterious mutations in the chaperonin groEL. *Genetics* **165**: 1651–1660.
- Hubbell SP (2001). *The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography*. Princeton Univ. Press: Princeton.
- Kilian O, Steunou A-S, Fazeli F, Bailey S, Bhaya D, Grossman AR (2007). Light responses of a thermophilic *Synechococcus* isolate from the microbial mat of Octopus Spring. *Appl Environ Microbiol* (in press).
- Konstantinidis KT, Tiedje JM (2005). Genomic insights that advance the species definition for prokaryotes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **102**: 2567–2572.
- Lan R, Reeves PR (2000). Intraspecific variation in bacterial genomes: the need for a species genome concept. *Trends Microbiol* **8**: 396–401.
- Lawrence JG (2002). Gene transfer in bacteria: speciation without species? *Theor Popul Biol* **61**: 449–460.
- Legault BA, Lopez-Lopez A, Alba-Casado JC, Doolittle WF, Bolhuis H, Rodriguez-Valera F *et al.* (2006). Environmental genomics of '*Haloquadratum walsbyi*' in a saltern crystallizer indicates a large pool of accessory genes in an otherwise coherent species. *BMC Genomics* **7**: 171.
- Maiden MCJ, Bygraves JA, Feil E, Morelli G, Russell JE, Urwin R *et al.* (1998). Multilocus sequence typing: a portable approach to the identification of clones within populations of pathogenic microorganisms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **95**: 3140–3145.
- Mayr E (1982). *The Growth of Biological Thought: Diversity, Evolution and Inheritance*. Harvard Univ. Press: Cambridge.
- Miller SR (2003). Evidence for the adaptive evolution of the carbon fixation gene *rbcL* during diversification in temperature tolerance of a clade of hot spring cyanobacteria. *Mol Ecol* **12**: 1237–1246.
- Mutch LA, Young JP (2004). Diversity and specificity of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar *viciae* on wild and cultivated legumes. *Mol Ecol* **13**: 2435–2444.
- Newman DK, Banfield JF (2002). Geomicrobiology: how molecular-scale interactions underpin biogeochemical systems. *Science* **296**: 1071–1077.
- Ochman H, Moran NA (2001). Genes lost and genes found: evolution of bacterial pathogenesis and symbiosis. *Science* **292**: 1096–1098.
- Palys T, Berger E, Mitrica I, Nakamura LK, Cohan FM (2000). Protein-coding genes as molecular markers for ecologically distinct populations: the case of two *Bacillus* species. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **50**: 1021–1028.
- Papke RT, Koenig JE, Rodriguez-Valera F, Doolittle WF (2004). Frequent recombination in a saltern population of *Halorubrum*. *Science* **306**: 1928–1929.
- Rainey PB, Travisano M (1998). Adaptive radiation in a heterogeneous environment. *Nature* **394**: 69–72.
- Ramsing NB, Ferris MJ, Ward DM (2000). Highly ordered vertical structure of *Synechococcus* populations within the one-millimeter thick photic zone of a hot spring cyanobacterial mat. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **66**: 1038–1049.
- Rasko DA, Rosovitz MJ, Okstad OA, Fouts DE, Jiang L, Cer RZ *et al.* (2007). Complete sequence analysis of novel plasmids from emetic and periodontal *Bacillus cereus* isolates reveals a common evolutionary history among the *B. cereus*-group plasmids, including *Bacillus anthracis* pXO1. *J Bacteriol* **189**: 52–64.
- Rodríguez-Valera F (2004). Environmental genomics, the big picture? *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **231**: 153–158.
- Rosenzweig RF, Sharp RR, Treves DS, Adams J (1994). Microbial evolution in a simple unstructured environment: genetic differentiation in *Escherichia coli*. *Genetics* **137**: 903–917.
- Rosselló-Mora R (2003). Opinion: the species problem, can we achieve a universal concept? *Syst Appl Microbiol* **26**: 323–326.
- Rosselló-Mora R, Amann R (2001). The species concept for prokaryotes. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **25**: 39–67.
- Schleper CA, DeLong EF, Preston CM, Feldman RA, Wu K-Y, Swanson RV (1998). Genomic analysis reveals chromosomal variation in natural populations of the uncultured psychrophilic archaeon *Cenarchaeum symbiosum*. *J Bacteriol* **180**: 5003–5009.
- Schloss PD, Handelsman J (2005). Metagenomics for studying unculturable microorganisms: cutting the Gordian knot. *Genome Biol* **6**: 229 (doi:10.1186/gb-2005-6-8-229).
- Sikorski J, Nevo E (2005). Adaptation and incipient sympatric speciation of *Bacillus simplex* under microclimatic contrast at 'Evolution Canyons' I and II, Israel. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **102**: 15924–15929.
- Smith NH, Kremer K, Inwald J, Dale J, Driscoll JR, Gordon SV *et al.* (2006). Ecotypes of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex. *J Theor Biol* **239**: 220–225.
- Spratt BG, Staley JT, Fisher M (eds) (2006). Species and speciation in micro-organisms. *Phil Trans R Soc London B* **365**: 1897–1898.
- Staley JT (1997). Biodiversity: are microbial species threatened? *Curr Opin Biotechnol* **8**: 340–345.
- Staley JT (2006). The bacterial species dilemma and the genomic-phylogenetic species concept. *Phil Trans R Soc London B* **361**: 1899–1909.
- Staley JT, Castenholz RW, Colwell RR, Holt JG, Kane MD, Pace NR *et al.* (1997). *The Microbial World: Foundation of the Biosphere*. Am. Acad. Microbiol.: Washington DC.
- Steunou A-S, Bhaya D, Bateson MM, Melendrez MC, Ward DM, Brecht E *et al.* (2006). *In situ* analysis of nitrogen fixation and

- metabolic switching in unicellular thermophilic cyanobacteria inhabiting hot spring microbial mats. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **103**: 2398–2403.
- Templeton AR (1989). The meaning of species and speciation: a genetic perspective. In: Otte D, Endler JA (eds). *Speciation and Its Consequences*. Sinauer: Sunderland, MA. pp 3–27.
- Thompson JR, Pacocha S, Pharino C, Klepac-Ceraj V, Hunt DE, Benoit J *et al.* (2005). Genotypic diversity within a natural coastal bacterioplankton population. *Science* **307**: 1311–1313.
- Tringe SG, von Mering C, Kobayashi A, Salamov AA, Chen K, Chang HW *et al.* (2005). Comparative metagenomics of microbial communities. *Science* **308**: 554–557.
- Tyson GW, Chapman J, Hugenholtz P, Allen EE, Ram RJ, Richardson PM *et al.* (2004). Community structure and metabolism through reconstruction of microbial genomes from the environment. *Nature* **428**: 37–43.
- van der Meer MTJ, Schouten S, Bateson MM, Nübel U, Wieland A, Kühl M *et al.* (2005). Diel variations in carbon metabolisms of green nonsulfur-like bacteria in alkaline silicious hot spring microbial mats from Yellowstone National Park, USA. *Appl Env Microbiol* **71**: 3978–3986.
- van der Meer MTJ, Schouten S, Sinninghe Damsté JS, Ward DM (2007). Impact of carbon metabolisms on ¹³C signatures of cyanobacteria and green nonsulfur-like bacteria inhabiting a microbial mat from an alkaline siliceous hot spring in Yellowstone National Park (USA) *Environ. Microbiology* **9**: 482–491.
- Venter JC, Remington K, Heidelberg JF, Halpern AL, Rusch D, Eisen JA *et al.* (2004). Environmental genome shotgun sequencing of the Sargasso Sea. *Nature* **304**: 66–74.
- Ward BB (2002). How many species of prokaryotes are there? *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **99**: 10234–10236.
- Ward DM (1998). A natural species concept for prokaryotes. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **1**: 271–277.
- Ward DM (2006). A macrobiological perspective on microbial species. *Microbe* **1**: 269–278.
- Ward DM, Cohan FM (2005). Microbial diversity in hot spring cyanobacterial mats: pattern and prediction. In: Inskeep W, McDermott T (eds). *Geothermal Biology and Geochemistry in Yellowstone National Park*. Thermal Biology Institute, Montana State Univ: Bozeman. pp 185–201.
- Ward DM, Bateson MM, Ferris MJ, Kühl M, Wieland A, Koeppel A *et al.* (2006). Cyanobacterial ecotypes in the microbial mat community of Mushroom Spring (Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming) as species-like units linking microbial community composition, structure and function. *Phil Trans R Soc London B* **361**: 1997–2008.
- Ward DM, Bateson MM, Ferris MJ, Nold SC (1998). A natural view of microbial biodiversity within hot spring cyanobacterial mat communities. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **62**: 1353–1370.
- Whitaker RJ, Banfield JF (2005). Population dynamics through the lens of extreme environments. *Rev Mineral Geochem* **59**: 259–277.
- Whitaker RJ, Grogan DW, Taylor JW (2005). Recombination shapes the natural population structure of the hyperthermophilic archaeon *Sulfolobus islandicus*. *Mol Biol Evol* **22**: 2354–2361.
- Zinser ER, Coe A, Johnson ZI, Martiny AC, Fuller NJ, Scanlan DJ *et al.* (2006). *Prochlorococcus* ecotype abundances in the North Atlantic Ocean as revealed by an improved quantitative PCR method. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**: 723–732.